IRA-Traditional Account Analysis

Snapshot: May 4, 2026 — 11:44 EDT | Account NLV (ORT Realtime): $2,164,698 | Daily change: +0.168% (~+$3,627) | Regular trading hours — PnL figures are reliable.

What this account is and how it's positioned

A single IRA-Traditional account running a covered-call / cash-secured-put income book on five large equities (NVDA, MSFT, SPY, V, JPM) plus a sleeve of yield-bearing positions: $186K cash, $85K SGOV, two corporate bonds (~$104K total), JPM preferreds (PRL $213K, PRM $53K), and OZKAP $45K. Total options notional is $1.883M against NLV — Notional/NLV of **2.16x**, almost all of it short calls (–$1.697M call notional, –$186K put notional).

Directionally the book is **net long $807K of dollar delta (ORT)** — long stock dominates the deltas because the short calls are mostly very deep ITM (high negative call delta partially offsets the stock). Vega is –**$6,568**, a short-volatility book. Theta2 ORT is **+$213/day**, materially lower than the IBKR analytical figure of +$311 because conservative theta caps the deep-ITM positions to linear decay.

The most striking structural feature: extrinsic value is only –$214,540 total, but intrinsic value is –$712,336 — **three-and-a-half dollars of intrinsic for every dollar of remaining time value.** The short call book has been overrun by a sustained equity rally, and a lot of capital is tied up in positions that pay little theta relative to the risk they carry.

The three biggest risks

1. V — largest single-underlying stress loss

The V book shows –**$169.2K at IV+75%/–20%** and –**$104.2K at IV+50%/–20%**, the worst of any underlying. Driving it: 1,300 long V shares (~$426K) plus short 11 Dec15'28 320 calls (just 2.5% ITM, $69K of remaining extrinsic), plus short Dec28 280 calls and short puts at 260/300/320 strikes on Jan/Dec 28. The 320-strike short call alone shows –**$35.5K at IV+75%/–20%** and –**$87.2K at IV+50%/+20%** — it's the dominant position-level risk driver. Vega on V aggregate is –**$3,099**, the highest single-underlying vega in the book.

2. MSFT — second largest stress loss with downside concentration

–**$124.6K at IV+75%/–20%,** –**$92.6K at IV+75%/0%.** The 1,300 long MSFT shares (~$539K) carry $108K of price risk at –20% on their own. The 430-strike Dec28 short calls (5 contracts, only 3.6% OTM) add –$16.1K at IV+75%/–20%, and the Dec28 250 short put adds another –$7.1K. Net call notional –$455K, net put notional –$25K. MSFT vega is –**$2,614**.

3. NVDA — concentrated short-call book with poor risk/reward at deep ITM strikes

Aggregate stress is smaller (–$34.4K at IV+75%/–20%) because the long 2,400 stock partially hedges the short calls. But the position quality is the issue: the **SHRT 17 NVDA Sep17'27 70 CALL** is 180.7% ITM, intrinsic value –$215K, extrinsic only –$8.3K, **Time Value Pct just 5.22% annualized**. Unrealized loss –$52.6K. This is effectively a short-stock-equivalent of 1,700 NVDA shares (delta –1,663) being held for $8K of remaining time value. PnL stress envelope: –**$70.5K at IV+75%/+20%,** –**$38.3K at IV+75%/+10%**. If NVDA continues higher, this position bleeds quickly.

Volatility sensitivity ranking

By portfolio-level vega contribution, V (–$3,099) and MSFT (–$2,614) dominate. NVDA is –$771 and SPY only –$82 because the SPY short calls are 60% ITM and behave almost like short stock — almost zero vega. Looking at the IV+75%/0% column (pure vol shock, no price move):

Underlying	IV+75% / 0% PnL
V	–$133.3K
MSFT	–$92.6K
NVDA	–$22.2K
SPY	–$18.8K
JPM	–$0.4K

V is roughly **1.5x more sensitive to a vol shock alone** than MSFT, and an order of magnitude above SPY/NVDA/JPM.

Biggest IV+75% / –20% risk

V — by a clear margin. Aggregate –$169.2K at IV+75%/–20%, vs MSFT –$124.6K (next worst). Within V, the SHRT 11 Dec15'28 320 CALL position is the dominant driver of the aggregate stress; rolling or partially closing those would reduce V tail risk more than any other single action. The cost of closing those 11 contracts is the $69K extrinsic forfeit (annualized 7.76% on notional) — meaningful, but the position is also the largest source of vega and gamma in the V book.

Today's winners and losers

Modest day, dominated by bond rally and JPM weakness:

Position / Underlying	Daily Contribution	Notes
LMT 4.85 09/15/41 bond	+$705	+1.42% — largest contributor; rates rally
NVDA aggregate	+$2,028	Stock −0.99% (−$4,656); call book +$6,703 on lower vol/decay
SPY aggregate	+$499	Long shares −$2,886; short calls +$3,385
OZKAP	+$161	Preferred drift higher
JPM aggregate	+$53	Stock −1.7% (−$532); Jul’26 200 call +$585
JPM PRL	−$66	Preferred drift
MSFT	−$13	Essentially flat
V	+$199	Essentially flat

JPM was the only stock with a real decline (–1.7%) — it didn't do real damage because the position is small (100 shares) and partially hedged. The book did its job today: vol came in slightly, NVDA pulled back, options decayed, and the bond leg paid off too.

Single most important thing

The V book is the concentrated tail risk. Specifically: SHRT 11 V Dec15'28 320 CALL.

That one position carries –$35.5K stress at IV+75%/–20%, –$87.2K at IV+50%/+20%, and is the largest single contributor to the V aggregate stress that already dwarfs every other underlying. It's slightly ITM (2.5%), 956 DTE, $69K extrinsic, vega –$2,000, gamma-dollars –$762. Whether V drops 20% with vol spike or rallies 20%, this position loses badly — it's short on both sides of the convexity surface because of its size.

Secondary watch: SHRT 17 NVDA Sep17'27 70 CALL isn't the biggest stress number, but it's the worst yield-for-risk in the book — 180% ITM, $215K intrinsic, 5.22% Time Value Pct. You're financing $215K of synthetic short stock for $8K of remaining premium over 17 months. If you're ever going to roll that up-and-out, the case is stronger every week NVDA stays elevated.

Both positions are candidates to address before they're forced.